In the table below, the following abbreviations are used: SD = Strongly Disagree; D = Disagree; N = Neutral; A = Agree; SA = Strongly Agree – please pick one

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>SA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The forum gave me the opportunity to establish connections with people in a similar position to my own</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The choice of topics for the Forum was relevant and current</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The guest presentations were pertinent and informative</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I have gained useful knowledge of learning and teaching activities at other institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. As a result of this Forum I am better informed on general issues affecting ICT learning and teaching at a sector level</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Forums like this are important for the ICT discipline</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. As a result of this Forum, I will consider improvements to the teaching practices at my institution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. As a result of this Forum, I will follow up on developing collaboration for teaching innovation purposes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sessions that were good, were so because:
Enlightening, created awareness and discussion
Presentation of projects; overviews/insights into different problems and possible solutions.
There was interaction and discussion
Enthusiastic; active live discussions
Provided good examples of practice
My first ALTA Forum, was impressed by the program and enjoyed it
Guest presentations and spot-on on the industry trends and developments
They were practice based
They were relevant and current. They were generally well presented and engaging.
Informative
They were interactive and modeled best practice; widely relevant; engagingly presented;
graphical data and representations; provided data on research into L&T quality
Representation from industry, ACER, OLT, ACSF very good
Relevant, timely, interesting; reports on issues and projects; hot topics etc.
Succinct, relevant and kept to time.
The introduction speaker about what business/industry wants needs what is being produced [ ]

Sessions that were not so good, were so because:
Not too sure about the session on ADEs as I am not one – but it was still good to hear their issues/challenges.
Not prepared well
Teacher centred learning, not student centred; let’s practice what we preach.
Some sessions too squashed; not sufficient time for discussions
Focused on policy
There was some overlap or “reinventing” the wheel approach
I would prefer Q/A after each speaker
None of the sessions were not so good.
More singing !
Inviting different academics to talk on their innovative approaches in L&T; longer discussion
Not many of these: poor argument – unclear point; death by PowerPoint (sorry, death by text).
OLT presentation – speaker was not up to speed as new, should not have accepted invitation and delegated to someone better informed. Too generalized (rare)

The next Forum would be improved by:
Warmer climate
More action presentations!
Longer breaks; more interaction with colleagues sharing experience
Including some presentations / projects on reducing attrition and improving completion factors in ICT courses.
Longer periods for interaction.
Session for research project initiation based on findings and reports etc.; TELEs --> BL and online [sic] – innovation steps related to hot topics
Development steps for the sector – practical; good to have “best practice” cheat sheets that are useful to practitioners via ALTA website etc; good to have writing workshops/symposia
Could liaise with OLT to find out what IT related projects were funded.
A bit more discussion – maybe under ‘hot topic' session on day 1

Any other comments:
Venue a bit cold
I was able to network with people in dissimilar positions (am not a dean)
More interactive sessions like end of day [hot topics]
More food, still hungry; different food on day 1 to day 2 would be good.
Very valuable, thank you.
Excellent time management
The days session was a little long; tired by end of each day
Well organized and well catered, thanks.
The 2 days were enjoyable but very intense
Better if more low-carb food
Great networking opportunity, Thank you.
Lunch 4/10, dinner 8/10, venue 8/10
Excellent

| Score out of 10 for catering (10 = best) | 7 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| Score out of 10 for venue (10 = best)  | 8 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| Score out of 10 for catering (10 = best) | 9 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Score out of 10 for venue (10 = best)  | 9 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 |